

REMARKS

The Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for thoroughly reviewing and considering the pending application. The Office Action dated December 30, 2004 has been received and carefully reviewed. Claims 1, 3, 5, 21, and 28 have been amended. Claims 1-39 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

Initially, the Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for taking the time to meet with the Applicant's representatives and Mr. Dae Hee Park of L.G. Phillips on February 23, 2005. During the Examiner interview, the pending claims along with the cited references were discussed.

The Office Action rejected claims 1-36 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,721,174. The Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer. Accordingly, the Applicant requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

The Office Action rejected claims 1, 2, 11, 21-24 and 37 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,196,993 to *Herron et al.* (hereinafter "*Herron*"). The Office Action also rejected claims 3-7, 15, 16, 20, 28-32, 36, 38, and 39 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Herron*. Moreover, the Office Action rejected claims 9-11, 12-14, 17-19, 25-27, and 33-35 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Herron*.

During the Examiner interview, the Examiner agreed that the above-referenced amendments include subject matter not disclosed in *Herron*. Therefore, the Applicant submits that claims 1-19 are allowable over *Herron* and requests withdrawal of the rejection. In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

The Applicant hereby authorizes the Commissioner of Patents to charge any fees necessary to complete this filing, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, or credit any overpayment in fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for the necessary extension of time.

Dated: April 14, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Song K. Jung

Registration No.: 35,210

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

Attorney for Applicant